Fort Worth, Texas (BPCT-850108KE), which was voluntarily dismissed pursuant to settlement.

Alden is an applicant for a new television station on Channel 46, Green Valley, Arizona (BPCT-830311KF). The Review Board awarded the construction permit to Alden. 2 FCC Rcd 3462 (Rev. Bd. 1987). An Application For Review is pending before the Commission.

Alden was an applicant for a new television station on Channel 47, Tulsa, Oklahoma (BPCT-780907KI), which was voluntarily dismissed pursuant to settlement.

Alden was an applicant for a new television station on Channel 18, Tucson, Arizona, (BPCT-801121KF), which was voluntarily dismissed pursuant to settlement.

Alden was also an applicant for a new television station on Channel 59, Fresno, California (BPCT-820702IH), which was dismissed pursuant to settlement.

Alden Communications Of Texas, Inc. ("ACC-Texas") was an applicant for a new television station on Channel 48, Galveston, Texas (BPCT-780907KE), which was voluntarily dismissed pursuant to settlement.

Greater Portland Broadcasting Corporation

Exhibit 4
Form 314
(December 1987)

### STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 73.3535 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES

Applicant's failure to construct Station KTD2-TV is a result of factors wholly beyond its control. In short, Applicant's inability to construct the Station was caused by multiple obstructions placed in its path by Columbia River Television, Inc., licensee of Station KPDX(TV) (Channel 49, Vancouver, Washington) ("Columbia River").

In September 1983, Applicant filed an application with the Multnomah County Planning Commission, seeking authorization to construct a television tower. The application contained a detailed description of the proposed construction, as well as an engineering and environmental showing. The application was supplemented on October 5, 1983.

On November 9, 1983, the Division of Planning and Development recommended approval of the application. A hearing washeld by the County Commission on November 14, 1983, and a written order was issued the same day, unanimously approving the application. An appeal then was filed by Columbia River; the Board of County Commissioners agreed to hear two limited issues on appeal: hazards resulting from collapse, and use of a third position on the KPDX tower. The Board of County Commissioners held a formal hearing on January 17, 1984, at which time it made an oral decision to uphold the Planning

Commission decision, approving the proposed construction. A written final order of the Board of County Commissioners was released on February 14, 1984.

On March 7, 1984, Columbia River filed a notice of intention to appeal the decision of the County Board. On March 19, 1984, Applicant filed a motion to dismiss and a memorandum in support of its motion to dismiss the notice of intention filed by Columbia River. On May 8, 1984, Columbia River filed its brief on the merits in a petition for review filed with the Land Use Board of Appeals of Oregon. On June 14, 1984, the Land Use Board of Appeals dismissed Columbia River's appeal, on the basis that the notice of intent to appeal had been untimely, as Applicant had demonstrated.

On July 2, 1984, Columbia River filed a petition for review with the Oregon Court of Appeals. Briefs were subsequently filed, and oral argument was held. On October 24, 1984, a decision was entered affirming the dismissal of the appeal. On December 7, 1984, an order was entered denying reconsideration.

On November 27., 1984, Columbia River filed a petition for review with the Oregon Supreme Court. Subsequently, briefs were filed and oral argument was held on March 6, 1985. On July 2, 1985, the Supreme Court of Oregon reversed the decision below and remanded the proceeding to the Land Use Board of Appeals on procedural grounds, finding that the notice of intent had been timely filed.

ø

On August 1, 1985, the Land Use Board of Appeals issued a letter indicating that the case had been reversed and that it was awaiting return of the record. The record was returned to the Board on August 30, 1985. On September 6, 1985, the Board of Appeals issued an order vacating its prior decision and establishing briefing schedules. Subsequently, briefs were filed, and oral argument was held on October 15, 1985. A final decision from the Board was released on January 7, 1986, upholding approval of Applicant's proposed construction.

On January 24, 1986, Columbia River appealed the Board decision to the Oregon Court of Appeals. Oral argument was held on March 14, 1986, and a decision was rendered on April 9, 1986. The Court of Appeals upheld the decision below, without opinion, approving the proposed construction.

At that juncture, Columbia River was not entitled to appeal as of right to the Oregon-Supreme Court, and would have had to petition the Court to review the case. Columbia River did not do so, and accordingly, the Board decision became final on May 15, 1986.

Until the Spring of 1986, therefore, Greater Portland's use of its proposed site was still under review in the State of Oregon, and as a practical matter, construction was precluded. Applicant submits that this tortuous history of local litigation, instituted solely by a neighboring station, constitutes events beyond licensee's control and brings this request under Section 73.3535(b) of the Commission's Rules.

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

·- II -- Assignee

Street Address (or other identific	eation)	City			
Q B. Q X C1	11.9.4.9	I S.A.N.T.A.	ANELLI		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
State		Zip Code	Telephone No.		
(C,A)	·	[9, 2, 7, 1, 1]	(Include area co		
Does the contract submitted in reand assignee?	esponse to Question 5, Part I	of Section I embody the full and	complete agreement be	tween the as 정 YES	ssigno
If No, explain in Exhibit No.	•				
etion (i	ASSIGNEE'S LE	GAL QUALIFICATIONS			
	• .	•			
Assignee is:	_		-		
an individual	🗆 a general partnership	a limited par	tnership	a corpo	oratio
□ other	-		•		
If the applicant is an unincorpora No. N/A the nature of the a		tity other than an individual, par	tnership or corporation,	describe in l	Exhib
	•				
erium	CITIZENSHIP AND OTHE	: R STATUTORY REQUIREMENT:	<b>s</b> -		
	•••		<del>-</del> .	YES	NO
	<u> </u>		*		
(a) is the applicant in compliance relating to interests of allens		ion 310 of the Communications /	Act of 1934, as amended,	₩	
(b) Will any funds, credit, etc.,	for construction, purchase o		ovided by allens, foreign	0	ä

**5**3

0

		1.5
(a)	Has an adverse finding been made, adverse final action taken or consent decree accroved by any court or ad-	
	ministrative body as to the applicant or any party to the application in any civil or cominal proceeding brought	
	under the provisions of any law related to the following: any felony, antitrust, unfair competition, fraud, unfair	
	labor practices, or discrimination?	
	$\cdot$	

(b) Is there now pending in any court or administrative body any proceeding involving any of the matters referred to in 4.(a)?

ž o

NO

Ď

\*/ Principals of the Applicant are also principals of Trinity Broadcasting of Washington, the licensee of MIEW-TV, Tacoma, Washington, and Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc., licensee of WHFT-TV, Miami, Florida. Age discrimination charges have been filed against these stations: for MIEW, with the Washington State Human Rights Commission (Case Nos. SEEA-1034-86-7 and SEEA-1085-86-7), and for WHFT with the EECC and the Broward County Human Relations Division. Motions to dismiss these claims have been filed. Those dismissal requests are still pending.

FCC 314 (Page 4) February 1987

#### TABLE I PARTIES TO APPLICATION

5. (a) Complete Table I with respect to the assignee. (Note: If the applicant considers that to furnish complete information would pose an unreasonable burden, it may request that the Commission waive the strict terms of this requirement).

instructions: If applicant is an individual, fill out column (a) only. If applicant is a partnership, fill out columns (a), (b) and (d), state as to each general or limited partner (including silent partners) (a) name and residence, (b) nature of partnership interest (i.e., general or limited), and (d) percent of ownership interest. If applicant is a corporation or an unincorporated association with 50 or fewer stockholders, stock subscribers, holders of membership certificate or other ownership interest, fill out all columns, giving the information requested as to all officers, directors and members of governing board. In addition, give the information as to all persons or entities who are the beneficial or record owners of or have the right to vote capital stock, membership certificates or other ownership interests, furnish the information as to officers, directors, members of governing board, and all persons or entities who are the beneficial or record owners of or have the right to vote 1% or more of the capital stock, membership or owner interest except that if such entity is a bank, insurance company or investment company (as defined by 15 U.S.C. §80a-3) which does not invest for purposes of control, the stock, membership or owner interest need only be reported if 5% or more

Applicants are reminded that questions 5 through 7 of this Section must be completed as to all "parties to this application" as that term is defined in the instructions to Section II of this form.

~	Name and Residence (Home) Address(es)	Nature of Partnership Interest or Office Held	Director or Member of Governing Board	% of: Ownership (O) or Partnership (P) or Voting Stock (VS) or Membership (M)
			120 , 110	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
~~×	Paul F. Crouch 1973 Port Chelsea Place Newport Beach, CA 92660	President	. <b>X</b> ;	N/A
~	P. Jane Duff 15052 Humphrey Circle Irvine, CA 92714	Vice President/Secretary	х	N/A 
~	Phillip David Espinoza 1150 O'Melvery San Fernando, CA 91350	Treasurer	<b>X</b>	N/A
	•			- <del></del>
<sup>1</sup> k-mall				
		o		
		55	1 1	FCC 314 (Page 5)

## Section il (page 4)

## ASSIGNEE'S LEGAL QUALIFICATIONS

			YES	NO
5.	<b>(p)</b>	Does the applicant or any party to this application, own or have any interest in a daily newspaper or cable televi- sion system?	۵	ğ
	(c)	Does the applicant or any party to this application have an ownership interest in, or is an officer, director or partner of, an investment company, bank, or insurance company which has an interest in a broadcast station, cable system or daily newspaper?	۵	ø
		If the answer to questions 5(b) or (c) is Yes, attach as Exhibit No, a full disclosure concerning persons involved, the nature of such interest, the media interest and its location.		
-		OTHER BROADCAST INTERESTS		
6.	Doe	es the applicant or any party to this application have any interest in or connection with the following?		
-	(a)	an AM, FM or TV broadcast station?	.⊠	
	(b)	a broadcast application pending before the FCC?	ğ	D
7	Has	s the applicant or any party to this application had any interest in or connection with the following:		
	(a)	an application which has been dismissed with prejudice by the Commission?		Ŗ
	(b)	an application which has been denied by the Commission?		Ŗ
	(c)	a broadcast station, the ilicense which has been revoked?	۵	Ø
	(d)	an application in any Commission proceeding which left unresolved character issues against the applicant?	۵	×
	(e)	If the answer to any of the questions in 6 or 7 is Yes, state in Exhibit No, the following information:	۵	0
		(ii) Name of party having such interest; (iii) Nature of interest or connection, giving dates; (iii) Call letters of stations or file number of application, or docket number; (iv) Location.	-	<del>-</del>
8.	(a)	Are any of the parties to this application related to each other (as husband, wife, father, mother, brother, sister, son or daughter)?	0	Ø
	(b)	Does any member of the immediate family (i.e., husband, wife, father, mother, brother, sister, son or daughter) of any party to this application have any interest in or connection with any other broadcast station or pending application?	<b>CSI</b>	٥
		If the answer to (a) or (b) above is Yes, attach as Exhibit No, a full disclosure concerning the persons involved, their relationship, the nature and extent of such interest or connection, the file number of such application, and the location of such station or proposed station.		

### OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL

		YES	NO	
<b>9.</b> -	Are there any documents, instruments, contracts or understandings relating to ownership or future ownership rights (including, but not limited to, non-voting stock interests, beneficial stock ownership interests, options, warrants, debentures)?	۵	Ø	
	If Yes, provide particulars as Exhibit No. $\frac{N/A}{}$ .			
10.	Do documents, instruments, agreements or understandings for the piedge of stock of a corporate applicant, as security for loans or contractual performance, provide that (a) voting rights will remain with the applicant, even in the event of default on the obligation; (b) in the event of default, there will be either a private or public sale of the stock; and (c) prior to the exercise of stockholder rights by the purchaser at such sale, the prior consent of the Commission (pur-			
	suant to 47 U.S.C. 310(d)) will be obtained?  Nonprofit/nonstock applicant  If No, attach as Exhibit No a full explanation.		0	N/
Sec	etion III ASSIGNEE'S FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS			
1.	The applicant certifies that sufficient net liquid assets are on hand or are available from committed sources to consummate the transaction and operate the facilities for three months.	Ż	۵	
	The applicant certifies that: (a) it has a reasonable assurance of a present firm intention for each agreement to furnish capital or purchase capital stock by parties to the application, each loan by banks, financial institutions or others, and each purchase of equipment on credit; (b) it can and will meet all contractual requirements as to collateral,			
	guarantees, and capital investment; (c) it has determined that all such sources (excluding banks, financial institutions and equipment manufacturers) have sufficient net liquid assets to meet these commitments.	Œ	۵	

**5**7

FCC 314 (Page 8) February 1987

### ASSIGNEE'S PROGRAM SERVICE STATEMENT

### LAM AND FM APPLICANTS

1. Attach as Exhibit No.  $\frac{N/A}{A}$  a brief description, in narrative form, of the planned programming service relating to the issues of public concern facing the proposed service area.

### FOR TELEVISION APPLICANTS

2. Ascertainment of Community Needs. See Exhibit III

ter stated in Question 4 and 5 above to be exceeded.

- A. State in Exhibit No. N/A the methods used by the applicant to ascertain the needs and interests of the public served by the station. Such information shall include (1) identification of representative groups, interests and organizations which were consulted and (2) the major communities or areas which applicant principally undertakes to serve.
- B. Describe in Exhibit No. N/A the significant needs and interests of the public which the applicant believes its station will serve during the coming license period, including those with respect to national or international matters.
- C. List in Exhibit No. N/A typical and illustrative programs or program series (excluding Entertainment and News) that applicant plans to broadcast during the coming license period to meet those needs and interests.
- State the minimum amount of time, between 6:00 a.m. and midnight, the applicant proposes to normally devote each week to the program types listed below (see definitions in instructions). Commercial matter, within a program segment, shall be excluded in computing the time devoted to that particular program segment, e.g., a 15-minute news program containing three minutes of commercial matter, shall be computed as a 12-minute news program.

		See	Exhibit	III		
_		HOURS		MINUTËS	% of TOTAL TIME ON AIR	-
	NEWS			<del>-</del> .		
	PUBLIC AFFAIRS		-	-		
	ALL OTHER PROGRAMS					
	(Exclusive of Sports and					
	Entertainment)					
	_LOCAL PROGRAMMING		- 3888		· —	_
	·					
4.	State the maximum amount of commi	ercial matter	the applicant pro	poses to allow normall	y in any 60-minute segments:	
5.	State the maximum amount of comm tween the hours of 6 p.m. to 11 p.m. (				ly In a 60-minute segment be-	
	(a) State the number of hourly segment	nents per wee	ek this amount is	expected to be exceed	led, if any:	
₿.	State in Exhibit No, in full	detail, the re	asons why the a	pplicant would allow th	e amount of commercial mat-	

á

YES NO

1. Does the applicant propose to employ five or more fulltime employees? See Exhibit IV

**OX** O

· if the answer is Yes, the applicant must include an EEO program called for in the Model EEO Program. (FCC Form 396-A).

ø

SECTION VI

Part II - Assignee

### **ASSIGNEE'S CERTIFICATION**

The ASSIGNEE hereby waives any claim to the use of any particular frequency as against the regulatory power of the United States because of the previous use of the same, whether by license or otherwise, and requests an authorization in accordance with this application. (See Section 304 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended).

The ASSIGNEE acknowledges that all its statements made in this application and attached exhibits are considered material representations, and that all of its exhibits are a material part hereof and are incorporated herein.

The ASSIGNEE represents that this application is not filed by it for the purpose of impeding, obstructing or delaying determination on any other application with which it may be in conflict.

In accordance with Section 1.65 of the Commission's Rules, the ASSIGNEE has a continuing obligation to advise the Commission, through amendments, of any substantial and significant changes in the information furnished.

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND IMPRISONMENT.

U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001.

I certify that the assignee's statements in this application are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and are made in good faith.

Signed and dated this 16th day of December

NATIONAL MINORITY TV. INC.

Name of Assignee

19 87

Blacetura

<u>= S.</u>

Title

# RULE 73.3535 CERTIFICATION

## RULE 73.3535 CERTIFICATION

National Minority TV, Inc. ("NMTV") hereby certifies, in accordance with Commission Rule 73.3535, that it will immediately begin building KTDZ-TV, Portland, Oregon upon grant of this assignment application. NMTV intends to file a site change application, which is required due to circumstances beyond its control, or the control of the Assignor, in the very near future. It will expeditiously construct KTDZ-TV at that new site upon grant.

## EXHIBIT I

BROADCAST INTERESTS AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 73.3555(d)

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit I

# BROADCAST INTERESTS AND STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 73.3555(d)

National Minority TV, Inc. is a California nonprofit corporation organized on September 16, 1980 under the name Translator TV, Inc. It has had the same three officers and directors since its organizational meeting of September 19, 1980: Paul F. Crouch, P. Jane Duff and Phillip David Espinoza. Mrs. Duff is a Black female, and Mr. Espinoza is an Hispanic male.

Under its former name National Minority TV, Inc. submitted 15 low power television applications in the following markets: Columbus, Ohio, Ch. 50; Crestline, et al., California, Ch. 47; Dallas, Texas, Ch. 51; Rockford, Ill., Ch. 57; Houston, Texas, Ch. 56, Spokane, Washington, Ch. 57; Washington, D.C., Ch. 42; Fort Worth, Texas, Ch. 47; Las Vegas, Nevada, Ch. 51; San Antonic, Texas, Ch. 50; San Francisco, California, Ch. 51; San Bernandino, California, Ch. 60; St. Louis, Missouri, Ch. 50; Portland, Oregon, Ch. 57; and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Ch. 41. These applications have either been dismissed, or are awaiting lottery assignments. In each application, however, a minority enhancement credit was noted since two-thirds control of National Minority TV, Inc. (formerly Translator TV, Inc.) is in the hands of members from recognized minority groups.

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC. Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit I, Page 2

Commission Rule 73.3555(d)(1) permits an ownership interest in up to 14 television facilities provided the licensee organization is minority-controlled. In this instance, while one of National Minority TV, Inc.'s principals, Paul F. Crouch, presently has an interest in 13 commercial television facilities (noncommercial facilities are not subject to the multiple ownership rule, Section 73.3555(f)), a majority of National Minority TV, Inc.'s directors are minorities, and it is therefore minority controlled and in compliance with rule 73.3555(d)(1). On June 15, 1987 the Commission determined that National Minority TV, Inc. was in compliance with Rule 73.3555(d) when it granted assignment application BAPCT-870203KF involving KMLM(TV), Odessa, Texas.

In addition to meeting the numerical limits for television ownership interest, National Minority TV, Inc. is also in compliance with the "national audience reach" standards contained in Rule 73.3555(d)(2). Specifically, based on Mr. Crouch's television involvements, the following national audience reach figures apply: 1

<sup>1/</sup> All figures are based on the 1986-87 ADI numbers provided in the 1987 Broadcasting Yearbook, and the 1987 Television Factbook.

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC. Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit I, Page 3

Station/Location ADI	TV Households
(1) KTBN-TV, Santa Ana, CA (Los Angeles, CA Market (2) WLXI-TV, Greensboro, North Carolina (3) WDLI-TV, Canton, Ohio (Cleveland, Ohio Market) (4) KPAZ-TV, Phoenix, Arizona (5) KNAT-TV, Albuquerque, New Mexico (6) KTBO-TV, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (7) KTBW-TV, Tacoma, Washington (8) WHFT-TV, Miami, Florida (9) WKOI-TV, Richmond, IN (Dayton, Ohio market) (10) WCLJ-TV, Bloomington, IN (Indianapolis, IN mark (11) WTBY-TV, Poughkeepsie, New York (12) KDTX-TV, Dallas, Texas (13) KMLM(TV), Odessas, Texas (14) KTDZ-TV, Portland, Oregon	4,532,700 504,400 1,413,400 892,000 388,100 613,500 1,194,300 1,202,400 506,300 818,300 200,000 <sup>2</sup> 1,605,200 152,600 785,700
TOTAL	14,808,900
TOTAL U.S. ADI HOUSEHOLDS (through Market 214)	- 86,104,900
% of ADI Households Reached by Organizations Paul F. Crouch is involved in	- 17.19% <sup>3</sup> -

Accordingly, Mr. Crouch's involvement with National Minority TV, Inc. is in full compliance with Rule 73.3555(d)(2), and there is no multiple ownership bar to the instant assignment of KTDZ-TV, Portland, Oregon from Greater Portland Broadcasting Corporation to National Minority TV, Inc.

 $<sup>^{2}/</sup>$  No Arbitron figures available, these numbers are assumed based on population.

 $<sup>^3/</sup>$  Pursuant to Rule 73.3535(d)(3)(A) only 50% of the ADI percentage reach is attributable to UHF actual facilities. Accordingly, only an 8.59% national audience reach is  $^o$  attributable to Mr. Crouch

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC. Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit I, Page 4

ĺ

### PAUL F. CROUCH IS AN OFFICER IN THE FOLLOWING COMPANIES:

## CALL LETTERS OF STATION OR FILE NUMBER OF APPLICATION

### LOCATION

Trinity Broadcasting Network, Inc. licensee of:

- (1) KTBN-TV,
- (2) WLXI(TV)
- (3) WDLI(TV)

Santa Ana, California; Greensboro, North Carolina; Canton, Ohio

Trinity Broadcasting of Arizona, Inc. licensee of:

- (1) KPAZ-TV,
- (2) KNAT(TV)

Phoenix, Arizona; Alburquerque, New Mexico

Trinity Broadcasting of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Inc., licensee of KTBO-TV.

Trinity Broadcasting of Washington, licensee of KTBW-TV.

Tacoma, Washington

Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc., Miami, Florida licensee of WHFT(TV).

Trinity Broadcasting of Indiana, Inc.:

- (1) licensee of WKOI(TV), and
  (2) permittee of WCLJ(TV)

Richmond, Indiana Bloomington, Indiana

Trinity Broadcasting of New York, Inc., - Poughkeepsie, NY licensee of WTBY-TV.

Trinity Broadcasting of Texas, Inc., licensee of KDTX-TV.

Dallas, Texas

National Minority TV, Inc., permittee of KMLM(TV).

Odessa, Texas

Community Educational Television, Inc., licensee of:

- (1) KLUJ(Ed.-TV)
- (2) KITU(Ed.-TV)

Harlingen, Texas Beaumont, Texas

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC. Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit I, Page 5

Educational Television of Houston, Inc. Houston, Texas licensee of KETH(Ed.-TV).

Mr. Crouch, through his involvement with the above organizations also has interests in various LPTV and television translator facilities and pending LPTV applications throughout the country. If information on such interests is requested by the Commission it will be provided immediately.

### NAME OF PARTY HAVING INTEREST: P. JANE DUFF

Community Educational Television, Inc., licensee of:

(1) KLUJ(Ed.-TV)

Harlingen, Texas Beaumont, Texas

(2) KITU(Ed.-TV)

Odessa, Texas

National Minority TV, Inc., permittee of KMLM(TV).

Mrs. Duff is also involved with various foreign broadcast facilities. If information on such involvements is requested by the Commission it will be provided immediately.

## NAME OF PARTY HAVING INTEREST: PHILLIP DAVID ESPINOZA

Mr. Espinoza has no other broadcast interests except his involvement with National Minority TV, Inc., permittee of KMLM(TV), Odessa, Texas.

ø

EXHIBIT II

### EXHIBIT II

Mr. Crouch's wife, Janice Crouch, and his brother, Phillip A. Crouch, are involved as either directors or officers of the same organizations as Mr. Crouch. Mrs. Crouch is not, however, involved in Educational Television of Houston, Inc., KETH (Ed.-TV), Houston, Texas.

## EXHIBIT III

## PROGRAMMING STATEMENT

NATIONAL MINORITY TV, INC. Portland, Oregon FCC Form 314 Exhibit III

### PROGRAMMING STATEMENT

Questions 2 through 6 of Section IV are no longer applicable to television facilities in accordance with the Commission's August 21, 1984 Report and Order in Mass Media Bureau Docket No. 83-670, FCC 84-293, Mimeo Number 34761. National Minority TV, Inc. (NMTV) intends to fully address the determined needs and interests of the community of license, and will prepare and maintain in its public file a quarterly problems/programs report. NMTV will broadcast proper amounts of informational, public affairs, religious and other non-entertainment programming. Should any additional information be required, NMTV will immediately provide it.

## EXHIBIT IV

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM